Printed in Great Britain

Phytochemistry, Vol. 26, No. 7, pp. 2037-2040, 1987.

NEOLIGNANS FROM FRUITS OF OCOTEA VERAGUENSIS

CRAIG D. DODSON, FRANK R. STERMITZ,* OSCAR CASTRO C.† and DANIEL H. JANZENT

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, U.S.A.; †Center for the Investigation of Natural Products (CIPRONA), School of Chemistry, University of Costa Rica, San Jose 2060, Costa Rica; †Department of Biology, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, U.S.A.

(Received 8 September 1986)

Key Word Index-Ocotea veraguensis; Lauraceae; fruits; isolation; neolignans; bicyclooctanoids; benzofuranoid.

Abstract—A total of 12 neolignans, 11 bicyclooctanoids and one benzofuranoid were isolated from fruit parts of Ocotea veraguensis. Seven of the bicyclooctanoids were new; most had 2'-O-acetyl functional groups. The major seed and seed coat neolignans had 3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl substituents at C-7, while the fruit pulp contained mainly diand trimethoxyphenyl groups at this position.

INTRODUCTION

Ocotea veraguensis (Lauraceae) is a common evergreen shrub to small tree in the dry forest of Santa Rosa Park, northwestern lowland Guancaste National Province, Costa Rica (0-350 m elevation). It is involved in a number of interesting plant-animal interactions in this habitat, where it is the only native lauraceous plant. The fruits are swallowed entire by large frugivorous birds such as trogons (e.g. Trogon elegans), and then the large seeds (1-2 g) are regurgitated after the gizzard has stripped off the fruit pulp. Such seeds, or those that have fallen from the tree, are not harvested by the spiny pocket mouse (Liomys salvini, Heteromyidae); this small rodent is an extremely common seed predator that harvests many other species of seeds from the forest litter in the forest where O. veraguensis is common. L. salvini rejects the seeds of O. veraguensis as food in the laboratory, usually preferring to starve to death rather than eat them (if the seeds are consumed, the rodent loses weight as fast as if it were eating no food) [D. H. Janzen, unpublished], and it is clear that the seeds contain one or more chemicals that are toxic or repellent to the mouse. On the other hand, larger seed predators such as agoutis (Dasyprocta punctata), pacas (Agouti paca) and peccaries (Dicotyles tayassu) readily prey on O. veraguensis seeds in Santa Rosa [W. Hallwachs, personal communication]; they grind them up entire, with or without the seed coat and fruit pulp attached

On the other hand, in Santa Rosa the larvae of the weevil Heilipus draco Fabr. (Curculionidae) develop only in the seeds of O. veraguensis; the larvae feed on the seed contents, one to a seed. This is the only species of insect that feeds on O. veraguensis seeds in Santa Rosa. It is clear that this weevil larva is not deterred by the chemicals that deter L. salvini. In addition, it is quite likely that the weevil's restriction to O. veraguensis as a host plant in Santa Rosa is based in major part on its ability to overcome the chemical defences of the seed, defences that

protect it from the mouse (a small vertebrate) but not from larger vertebrates. It is widely believed that lauraceous seeds are free of seed predation [1]. While this is obviously not the case with O. veraguensis, the above comments strongly suggest the presence of some relatively toxic compounds in O. veraguensis seeds.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All of the neolignans found (a total of 12) were of the bicyclo[3.2.1]octanoid type except for a single benzofuranoid. The benzofuranoid, 8, was previously known [2] as were the bicyclooctanoids 1a, 1c and 5a. The remainder are new compounds with most representing structural variations of the neolignans reported [3] from the stem bark. Particularly interesting was the preponderance of 2'-O-acetyl derivatives and the relative distribution of structures among the fruit parts. The major neolignans of the seed and seed coat were 1a and 1b, while those of the fruit pulp were 2a and 3a. The levels of 1a and 1b in the seed coat were four times those in the seed, and twice the levels of 2a and 3a in the fruit pulp. Hence, methvlenedioxy derivatives were concentrated in the seed materials, while methoxylated compounds were the major fruit pulp components.

Neolignan 1c was previously prepared from 1a and ¹H NMR data reported [4]. It had apparently been isolated earlier [5] from an *Aniba* species, along with 5a, although in this report the stereochemistry of 1c and 5a was incorrectly drawn.

Structures were assigned by high resolution fast atom bombardardment mass spectrometry and ¹H NMR spectroscopy in comparison with literature data for 1a, since a standard could not be obtained, and by an exchange of spectra with the Waterman group [3]. Table 1 gives the comparative NMR data for 1a and four typical bicyclooctanoids, all containing 7S-piperonyl (3,4-methylene-dioxyphenyl) substituents. The other bicyclooctanoids differ from those of Table 1 only in the pattern of substitution on the C-7 aromatic ring, which was easily assignable from the NMR spectra; these data are in the Experimental.

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

1a Ar = 3,4 · methylenedioxyphenyl: $R^1 = Ac$, $R^2 = R^3 = \bigcirc$

1b Ar = 3.4 - methylenedioxyphenyl; $R^1 = Ac$, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = OH$

1c Ar = 3,4 · methylenedioxyphenyl; R¹ = H, R² = R³ = O

2a Ar = 3,4 · dimethoxyphenyl; $R^1 = Ac$, $R^2 = R^3 = \bigcirc$

2b Ar = 3,4 · dimethoxyphenyl; $R^1 = Ac$, $R^2 = H$, $R^3 = OH$

3a Ar = 3,4,5 - trimethoxyphenyl; $R^1 = Ac$, $R^2 = R^3 = \bigcirc$

4a Ar = 4.5 - methylenedioxy - 3 - methoxyphenyl; $R^1 = Ac$, $R^2 = R^3 = \bigcirc$

Sa Ar = 3.4 - methylenedioxyphenyl, $R^1 = Ac$

5c Ar = 3,4 - methylenedioxyphenyl; $R^1 = H$

6a Ar = 3,4 - dimethoxyphenyl; R1 = Ac

7c Ar = 3 - hydroxy - 4 - methoxyphenyl; R1 = H

8

Compounds in the 1-4 series have the 1'S, 3'R configuration, while those in the 5-7 series have the 1'R,3'S configuration. This was determined by comparison of the NMR spectra with those of the literature [4,6]. The 1a-6a series have 2'-O-acetyl and 4'-carbonyl groups, 1b and 2b have 2'-O-acetyl and 4'-β-OH groups, while 1c and 5c-7c have 2'-OH and 4'-carbonyl functions. The presence of the 2'-OAc groups was established by the singlet three proton resonance in the 2.2-2.3 ppm region and shift of the normal H2' singlet at 3.99 ppm to 5.2-5.4 ppm. When a carbonyl group is present at C4', the signal for H6' is a singlet near 5.7 ppm for the 1-4 series and at 6.1 ppm for the 5-7 series. Replacement of the carbonyl with a C4' β-OH shifts the signal of H6' to 4.44 ppm (e.g. in 1b) and the new H4' appears at 4.86 ppm. Had the OH, H configuration been the opposite at C4', the H4' resonance would

have been near 4.3 ppm instead [3]. The desacetyl analogue of 1b as well as the alcohol of opposite configuration were both found in the stem bark [3] and these assignments were confirmed by NOE experiments [3]. The NOE data also confirmed the C7-C8 trans stereochemistry.

Keys to distinguishing the 1-4 from the 5-7 series are the chemical shifts of the H7 resonance, the H6' resonance and that for the methyl at C8. In the 1-4 series, H7 is at ca 2.6 ppm, H6' is at 5.7 and the methyl at 0.8-0.9 ppm, while in the 5-7 series these resonances appear at 3.3, 6.2 and 1.25-1.28 ppm, respectively.

Animal feeding experiments on fruit parts and their extracts will be conducted in order to determine whether the neolignans are important in the toxicity of the seed.

EXPERIMENTAL

The ¹H NMR spectra were taken in CDCl₃ at 270 MHz and are reported in ppm from TMS; J values are in Hz. The IR spectra were recorded after evaporating a CHCl₃ soln on NaCl plates. Low resolution EIMS were obtained at 70 eV, direct probe insert, at 120–140°.

Extraction and isolation. Fruit of O. veraguensis (Meissn.) Mez was collected on June 21, 1985 in Santa Rosa National Park, Guanacaste, Costa Rica, at an elevation of 300 m and identified by D. Whitehead. A voucher specimen is maintained by D.H.J. Seeds (500 g), seed coat (100 g) and dried fruit pulp (200 g) were

(75,8R,1'S,2'S,3'R)- Δ 8'-2'-Acetoxy-3',5'-dimethoxy-3,4-methylenedioxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3',8.1'-neolignan (1a). 19.8 mg (seed), 9.5 mg (fruit pulp), 23.5 mg (seed coat) EIMS m/z [M] $^+$ 414, 373, 210, 181, 162. IR $v_{\rm max}$ cm $^{-1}$: 1750, 1706, 1618. 1 H NMR see Table 1.

 $(7S.8~R.1'S.2'S.3'R.4'S)-\Delta^{8'}-2'-Acetoxy-3',5'-dimethoxy-4'-hydroxy-3,4-methylenedioxy-1',2',3',4-tetrahydro-7.3',8.1'-neolignan (1b). 26.4 mg (seed), 20.3 mg (seed coat). Found <math>[M+1]^+$ 417.1907, $C_{23}H_{28}O_7$ requires $[M+1]^+$ 417.1913. EIMS m/z, $[M]^+$ 416, 375, 315, 210, 162. $IR v_{max} cm^{-1}$: 3500, 1742, 1652, 1492: 1H NMR see Table 1.

 $(7S,8R,1'S,2'S,3'R)-\Delta^{8'}-2'-Acetoxy-3',5',3,4-tetramethoxy-1',2', 3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3',8.1'-neolignan (2a). 9.9 mg (seed), 19.4 mg (fruit pulp), 2.3 mg (seed coat). Found [M] + 430.19916 <math>C_{24}H_{30}O_7$ requires [M] + 430.19915. EIMS m/z: [M] + 430, 389, 210, 181, 178. ¹H NMR: 6.83 (3H, m, H2, 5, 6); 5.82 (1H, m, H8'); 5.69 (1H, s, H6'), 5.42 (1H, s, H2'), 5.16 (1H, dd, J = 15.9, < 1, H9' trans); 5.15 (1H, dd, J = 11.0, < 1, H9' cis); 3.88 (3H, s, 3'-OMe); 3.86 (3H, s, 4-OMe); 3.69 (3H, s, 5'-OMe); 3.23 (3H, s, 3'-OMe); 2.62 (1H, d, d) = 8.5, H7); 2.57–2.30 (3H, d), H7', H8); 2.27 (3H, s, 2'-OAc); 0.91 (3H, d, d) = 6.6, H9).

7S,8R,1'S,2'S,3'R,4'S)- $\Delta^{8'}$ -2'-Acetoxy-3',5',3,4-tetramethoxy-4'-hydroxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydro-7.3',8.1'-neolignan (2b). 3.9 mg (seed), 2 mg (fruit pulp), 4.3 mg (seed coat). Found [M+1] + 433.2209 C₂₄H₃₂O₇ requires [M+1] + 433.226. EIMS m/z: [M] + 432, 391, 331, 210, 178. ¹H NMR: 6.95 (1H, d, J = 1.4, H2); 6.86 (1H, dd, J = 5, 1.4, H6); 6.78 (1H, d, J = 5, H5); 5.80 (1H, m, H8'); 5.23 (1H, s, H2'); 5.05 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, < 1, H9' cis); 5.04 (1H, dd, J = 10.7, < 1, H9' trans); 4.87 (1H, s, H4'); 4.46 (1H, s, H6'); 3.89 (3H, s, 3'-OMe); 3.86 (3H, s, 4-OMe); 3.64 (3H, s, 5'-OMe); 3.15 (3H, s, 3'-OMe), 2.43-2.10 (4H, m, H7, H8, H7'); 2.25 (3H, s, 2'-OAc); 0.83 (3H, d, J = 7.0, H9).

 $(7S,8R,1'S,2'S,3'R)-\Delta^8-2'-Acetoxy-3',5',3,4,5-pentamethoxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3',8.1'-neolignam (3a). 2 mg (seed), 16.9 mg (fruit pulp), 1.1 mg (seed coat). Found <math>[M+1]^+$ 461.2175 $C_{25}H_{32}O_8$ requires $[M+1]^+$ 461.2175. EIMS m/z: $[M]^+$ 460, 210, 208. 1H NMR: 6.55 (2H, s, H2, 6); 5.80 (1H, m, H8'); 5.68 (1H, s, H6'); 5.44 (1H, s, H2'); 5.15 (1H, dd, J=15, 0.8, H9' trans); 5.14 (1H, dd, J=13, 0.8, H9' cis); 3.85 (6H, s, 3,5-OMe); 3.83 (3H, s, 4-OMe); 3.69 (3H, s, 5'-OMe); 3.24 (3H, s, 3'-OMe); 2.59 (1H, d, J=8.7, H7); 2.57–2.30 (3H, m, H7', H8); 2.27 (3H, s, 2'-OAc); 0.92 (3H, d, J=6.7, H9).

(7S,8R,1'S,2'S,3'R)- Δ^8 -Acetoxy-3',5',3-trimethoxy-4,5-methylenedioxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3,'8.1'-neolignan (4a). 6.5 mg (seed), 1.9 mg (fruit pulp), trace (seed coat). Found [M] + 444.1784 C₂₄H₂₈O₈ requires [M] + 444.1784. EIMS m/z [M] + 444, 210, 192, 181. ¹H NMR: 6.65 (1H, d, J = 1.5, H2*); 6.38 (1H, d, J = 1.5, H6*); 5.94 (2H, dd, J = 4, 1, O₂CH₂); 5.82 (1H, m, H8'); 5.67 (1H, s, H6'); 5.40 (1H, s, H2'); 5.15 (1H, dd, J = 15, 0.8, H9' trans); 5.14 (1H, dd, J = 13, 0.8, H9' cis); 3.88 (3H, s, 5-OMe); 3.68 (3H, s, 5'-OMe); 3.24 (3H, s, 3'-OMe); 2.56 (1H, d, J = 8, H7); 2.51–2.30 (3H, m, H8, H7'); 2.27 (3H, s, 2'-OAc); 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.4, H9).

(7S,8R,1'S,2'S,3'R)-∆8'-3',5']-Dimethoxy-2'-hydroxy-3,4-meth-ylenedioxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3',8.1'-neolignan (1c). 8 mg (seed), 1.4 mg (seed coat), 1.5 mg (fruit pulp). EIMS m/z: [M]⁺ 372, 331, 210, 162. ¹H NMR see Table 1.

 $\begin{array}{lll} (7S,8R,1'R,2'R,3'S)-\Delta^8-2'-Acetoxy-3',5'-dimethoxy-3,4-methyl-enedioxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3',8.1'-neolignan & (5a).\\ <1\text{ mg (seed)}. \text{ Found } [M+1]^+ \text{ } 415.1759\text{ } C_{23}H_{26}O_7 \text{ } \text{ } \text{requires } [M+1]^+ \text{ } 415.17567. \text{ } \text{EIMS } m/z\text{: } [M]^+ \text{ } 414, 373, 210, 162. \text{ } \text{IR},\\ v_{\text{max}}\text{ }\text{ }\text{ }\text{cm}^{-1}\text{: } 1745, 1700, 1615, 1500, 1488. \ ^1\text{H NMR see Table } 1. \end{array}$

 $(7S,8R,1'R,2'R,3'S)-\Delta^{8'}-3',5'-Dimethoxy-2'-hydroxy-3,4-methylenedioxy-1',2'3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3',8.1'-neolignan$ (5c). Trace (seed coat). EIMS m/z: [M] $^+$ 372, 210, 162. 1 H NMR see

 $(75,8R,1'R,2'R,3'S) - \Delta^{8'}-2'-Acetoxy-3',5',3,4-tetramethoxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3',8.1'-neolignan (6a). 6 mg (fruit pulp). EIMS <math>m/z$: [M] $^+$ 430, 389, 210, 178. 1 H NMR: 6.74 (1H, d, J = 8.8, H5); 6.59 (1H, d, J = 1.9, H2); 6.58 (1H, dd, J = 8.8, 1.9, H6); 6.17 (1H, s, H6'); 5.80 (1H, m, H8'); 5.34 (1H, s, H2'); 5.22 (1H, dd, J = 15, < 1, H9' trans); 5.21 (1H, dd, J = 12, < 1, H9' cis); 3.82 (3H, s, H3 or 4*); 3.81 (3H, s, H4 or 3*); 3.68 (3H, s, 5'-OMe); 3.35 (1H, d, J = 6.6, H7); 3.28 (3H, s, 3'-OMe); 2.5-2.3 (3H, m, H8, H7'); 2.25 (3H, s, 2'-OAc); 1.27 (3H, d, J = 7, H9).

 $(7S,8R,1'R,2'R,3'S)-\Delta^{8'}-2',4-Dihydroxy-3,3',5'-trimethoxy-1',2',3',4'-tetrahydro-4'-oxo-7.3',8.1'-neolignan (7e). 6 mg (seed). Found <math>[M+1]^+$ 375.1803, $C_{21}H_{26}O_6$ requires $[M+1]^+$ 375.18076. EIMS m/z: $[M]^+$ 374, 333, 210, 164. IR, v_{max} , cm⁻¹: 3450, 1685, 1615, 1512. 1H NMR: 6.77 (1H, d, J = 8, H5); 6.59 (1H, d, J = 1.8, H2); 6.52 (1H, dd, J = 8, 1.8, H6); 6.13 (1H, s, H6'); 5.85 (1H, m, H8'); 5.30 (1H, dd, J = 17, 1.7, H9' trans); 5.21 (1H, dd, J = 9, 1.7, H9' cis); 3.99 (1H, s, H2'); 3.82 (3H, s, 3-OMe); 3.67 (3H, s, 5'-OMe); 3.41 (3H, s, 3'-OMe); 3.32 (1H, d, J = 6.5, H7); 2.76 (1H, dd, J = 6.7, 2, H7'a or b*); 2.42 (1H, dd, J = 6.7, 2, H7'b or a*); 2.50 (1H, m, H8); 1.28 (3H, d, J = 7, H9).

(7S,8S,1'R)- $\Delta^{8'}$ -1',6'-Dihydro-1',5'-dimethoxy-3,4-methylene-dioxy-6'-oxo-7.0.4',8.3'-neolignan (8). 3 mg (seed). Found [M +1]⁺ 371.1487; C₂₁H₂₂O₆ requires [M+1]⁺ 371.1416. EIMS m/z: [M]⁺ 370, 340, 329, 207, 162. IR $\nu_{\rm max}$, cm⁻¹: 2920, 1655, 1612, 1500, 1488. ¹H NMR: 6.86 (1H, dd, J=8.0, 1.6, H6); 6.83 (1H, d, J=8.0, H5); 6.83 (1H, d, J=1.6, H2); 6.00 (2H, s, O₂CH₂); 5.70 (1H, dd t, J=17, 10, H8'); 5.20 (1H, dd, J=10, 2.2, H9' cis); 5.09 (1H, dd, J=17, 2.2, H9' trans); 5.05 (1H, d, J=8.6, H7); 3.85 (3H, s, 5'-OMe); 3.14 (3H, s, 1'-OMe); 3.09 (1H, dq, J=8.6, 6.7, H8); 2.50 (2H, dd, J=14, 7.1, H7'); 1.34 (3H, d, J=6.7, H9). All in agreement with the lit. [3].

Acknowledgements—This work was supported by NSF grant INT 8511204 to F.R.S. and O.C.C., by a Fulbright Fellowship to O.C.C., and BSR 84-03531, and BSR 83-08388 to D.H.J., and by the Servicio de Parques Nacionales de Costa Rica. We thank D. Whitehead of the U.S. National Museum for the weevil identification, the plants were identified by D.H.J. and are based on voucher material deposited in the Missouri Botanical Garden. FAB-MS were obtained at the Midwest Center for Mass Spectrometry, University of Nebraska, Lincoln (NSF Grant CHE 8211164). We are particularly grateful to Peter Waterman for prepublication information.

REFERENCES

- 1. Kubitzki, K. and Kurz, H. (1984) Plant Syst. Evol. 147, 253.
- Haraguchi, M., Motidome, M., Yoshida, M. and Gottlieb, O. R. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 561.
- Khan, M. R., Gray, A. I. and Waterman, P. G. (1987) *Phytochemistry* 26, 1155.
- Alegrio, L. V., Braz Fo., R., Gottlieb, O. R. and Maia, J. G. S. (1981) Phytochemistry 20, 1963.
- Fernandes, J. B., Gottlieb, O. R. and Maia, J. G. S. (1976) Phytochemistry 15, 1033.
- Gomes, M. C. C. P., Yoshida, M., Gottlieb, O. R., Martinez,
 V. J. C. and Gottlieb, H. E. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 269.

Table 1. 1H NMR data for selected neolignans

	Compound				
Proton	Ia	1b	le	5a	5c
H2 -	6.96	6.95	6.97*	6.54	6.55
	(1H, d, J = 0.7)	(1H, d, J = 1.3)	(s)	(d, J = 0.7)	(d, J = 1.6)
H-5	6.65	6.69	6.704*	6.68	6.67
	(1H, d, J = 8)	(1H, d, J = 7.3)	(s)	(d, J=8)	(d, J = 8.3)
H-6	6.70	6.74	6.701*	6.52	6.52
	(1H, dd, J = 8, 0.7)	(1H, dd, J = 7.3, 1.3)	(s)	(dd, J = 8, 0.7)	(dd, J = 8.3, 1.6)
O-CH ₂ -O	5.93	5.91	5.94	5.89	5.89
	(2H, d, J = 4.6)	(2H, dd, J = 5.8, 1.4)	(2H, d, J = 1.8)	(2H, d, J = 0.5)	(2H, s)
2'-OAc	2.28	2.26		2.24	
H7	2.59	In 2.1-2.6 m	In 2.3-2.7 m	3.30	3.33
	(1H, d, J = 8)			(d, J = 7)	(d, J = 6.9)
Н8	In 2.32-2.5 m	In 2.1-2.6 m	In 2.3-2.7 m	In 2.25-2.50 m	2.23
					(1H, m)
H9	0.90	0.83	0.89	1.25	1.28
	(3H, d, J = 6.3)	(3H, d, J = 6.6)	(3H, d, J = 6)	(3H, d, J = 6)	(3H, d, J = 7)
H2'	5.40	5.20	3.99	5.32	3.98
	(1H, s)	(1H, s)	(1H, s)	(1H, s)	(1H, s)
H3'					
H4'		4.86			
		(1H, s)			
H5'					
H6'	5.68	4.44	5.67	6.15	6.12
	(1H, s)	(1H, s)	(1H, s)	(1H, s)	(1H, s)
H7'	In 2.32-2.50 m	In 2.1-2.6 m	In 2.3-2.7 m	In 2.25-2.50 m	2.40/2.76
					(dd, J = 6.6, 2)
H8'	5.80	5.80	5.88	5.82	5.88
	(1H, m)	(1H, m)	(1H, m)	(1H, m)	(1H, m)
H9'	5.15	5.03	5.23	5.22	5.30
trans	(1H, dd, J = 15.8, < 1)) (1H, dd , $J = 17.7$, < 1) $(1H, dd, J = 18.6, 1.4)$	(1H, dd, J = 18, < 1)	(1H, dd, J = 17, 1.7)
H9'	5.14	5.04	5.15	5.21	5.21
cis	(1H, dd, J = 11.2, < 1)) $(1H, dd, J = 10.8, < 1)$) $(1H, dd, J = 9.9, 1.3)$	(1H, dd, J = 12, < 1)	(1H, dd, J = 9, 1.7)
1'-OMe			tentenniler#Ne2 (Antifoliset)	Treatment and The	was a straight of the straight
2'-OMe					
3'-OMe	3.22	3.15	3.30	3.27	3.41
5'-OMe	3.68	3.63	3.68	3.68	3.67
6'-OMe					A-10007

^{*}Interchangeable.

subjected separately to the following extraction procedure. Ground material was extracted with EtOH by percolation with stirring. The EtOH was concd to a syrup and MeOH added to ppt fats. Addition of MeOH and repeated cooling in a freezer was carried out until no additional ppt formed. Each alcoholic extract was diluted to a known vol. with MeOH-H₂O (3:2). An aliquot was removed and evapd to dryness in order to estimate the wt of each extract: seeds 34 g, seed coats 6.5 g, fruit pulp 58 g. The aq. alcohol was then partitioned between hexane, CHCl₃ and EtOAc. Analysis by ¹H NMR showed the neolignans to be concd in the CHCl₃ solns: seed 1.5 g, seed coats 0.68 g, fruit pulp 1.2 g.

Neolignans from seeds. One-half of the CHCl₃ extract was subjected to flash chromatography (silica gel) with a gradient of 3:2-2:3 of toluene-EtOAc. Fractions 5-19 (of 27) contained neolignans and were combined based on TLC and NMR analysis. Pure neolignans were then obtained by prep. TLC (silica gel) with hexane-EtOAc (1:0-1:1 gradient) and prep. TLC (silica gel) by double development with toluene-EtOAc (5:1) and (5:3).

Neolignans from seed coats. One-half of the CHCl₃ extract was separated by prep. TLC as above, with further purifications by prep. HPLC (ODS column; MeOH-H₂O, 6:4).

Neolignans from fruit pulp. One-third of the CHCl3 extract was

separated on a centrifugal prep. TLC plate (silica gel, 2 mm) developed with a hexane-EtOAc gradient (1:0-1:1). Fractions containing neolignans were further separated by prep. TLC as above.

Results of the isolations were as follows:

	% in seeds*	% in seed coat	% in fruit pulp
1a	0.004	0.024	0.005
1b	0.005	0.020	None
1c	0.002	0.001	0.001
2a	0.002	0.002	0.010
2b	0.001	0.004	0.001
3a	Trace	0.001	0.009
4a	0.001	Trace	0.001
5a	Trace	None	None
5c	None	Trace	None
6a	None	Trace	None
7c	0.001	None	None
8	0.001	None	None

^{*}Without seed coat.